UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,744	02/18/2004	Kazumi Doi	1075.1248	9438

21171 7590 09/03/2008

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER	
LIU, LIN	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2145	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/779,744	DOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LIN LIU	2145	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *18 February 2004*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-17* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-17* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *18 February 2004* is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *02/18/2004 and 10/27/2005*.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to communications filed on 02/18/2004. Claims 1-17 are pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/18/2004 and 10/27/2005 are considered.

Drawings

3. Figure 22 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Swart et al. (PGPUB: US 2003/0025832 A1)** in view of **Gonzalez (PGPUB: US 2006/0256130 A1).**

With respect to **claim 1,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method using a plurality of contents servers to which a plurality of contents are distributed to be stored in their contents storage units, respectively, a management server for managing delivery of contents to a portable terminal and an intermediate apparatus for mediating supply of contents from said plurality of contents servers to said management server so that said plurality of contents distributed to said plurality of contents servers are partially fetched as contents portions to combine the fetched contents portions according to time series for delivering compound contents produced through the combination thereof to said portable terminal (Swart: fig. 4), said method comprising:

an instruction information production step of, in said management server, producing instruction information for the compound contents production on the basis of a substance of said compound contents to be produced (Swart: fig. 4, page 4, paragraphs 44-45, noted the aggregator 201);

a contents portion fetching instruction step of, in said intermediate apparatus, instructing said contents servers to fetch contents portions needed for the compound contents production according to said instruction information produced in said instruction information production step (Swart: fig. 4, page 3, paragraphs 40-41 and page 4, paragraphs 44-45, noted the remote content server 204);

a compound contents element acquisition step of, in each of said contents servers, acquiring compound contents element converted in encoding format for said portable terminal in corresponding relation to said contents portion which is an object of the fetching instruction in said contents portion fetching instruction step to return the acquired compound contents element to said intermediate apparatus (Swart: fig. 4, page 4, paragraphs 45-47);

a production step of, in said intermediate apparatus, combining said compound contents elements returned from said contents servers on the basis of instruction information from said management server to produce compound contents oriented to said portable terminal (Swart: fig. 4, page 3, paragraphs 40-41 and page 4, paragraphs 44-45); and

a delivery step of, in said management server, delivering said compound contents produced in said production step to said portable terminal (Swart: fig. 4, page 4, paragraph 47, noted that the aggregator reformats all the content into a format that is readily received by all user terminals).

However, Swart does not explicitly teach a method of combining compound content elements according to time series.

In an analogous art, Gonzalez teaches a method of combining compound content elements according to time series (Gonzalez: page 6, paragraphs 91-93).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of combining compound content elements according to time series as taught by Gonzalez in Swart's invention in order to assemble each discrete media instance correctly in time and provide a continuous presentation of the media to the users (Gonzalez: page 6, paragraph 92).

With respect to **claim 2,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein said management server is made to store and manage said compound contents returned from said intermediate apparatus in corresponding relation to said instruction information in the past in a state associated with said instruction information, and said method further comprises:

an identity decision step of making a decision as to the identity between said instruction information produced in said instruction information production step and said instruction information stored in said management server (Swart: page 5, paragraph 54); and

an in-management-server first control step of, when the decision result in said identity decision step shows the produced instruction information is identical with said instruction information stored and managed in said management server, using said compound contents stored in a state associated with the stored instruction information as said compound contents to be delivered to said portable terminal in said delivery step and, when the decision shows no identity therebetween, transmitting the produced

instruction information to said intermediate apparatus (Swart: page 5, paragraphs 54-55).

With respect to **claim 3,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 2, wherein a plurality of intermediate apparatuses each identical with said intermediate apparatus are provided, and in said identity decision step, a decision is additionally made as to the degree of similarity between the produced instruction information and said instruction information stored in said management server, and in said in-management-server first control step, when a decision result in said identity decision step shows that the produced instruction information is not identical with said instruction information stored and managed in said management server, the produced instruction information is transmitted to said intermediate apparatus to which compound contents information is returned with respect to, of said instruction information stored and managed in said management server, said instruction information which is decided to be most similar to the produced instruction information (Swart: page 5, paragraphs 54-55).

With respect to **claim 4,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein a plurality of intermediate apparatuses each identical with said intermediate apparatus are provided, and in said management server, a processing load monitoring step is implemented to monitor a processing load in said converting unit and an in-management-server second control step is provided to transmit said instruction information produced in said instruction information production step to said

converting unit having a smallest processing load on the basis of a monitor result from said processing load monitoring step (Swart: page 5, paragraphs 55-56).

With respect to **claim 5,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein, in said compound contents element acquisition step, said intermediate apparatus stores and manages said compound contents elements returned from said contents server in the past, and said contents portion fetching instruction step includes an in-intermediate-unit duplication decision step of obtaining information for specifying contents portion needed for the compound contents production from said instruction information and making a decision as to the degree of duplication in substance between said contents portion needed for the compound contents production and said compound contents element stored and managed in said intermediate apparatus (Swart: pages 5-6, paragraphs 58-59); and

a fetching instruction execution step for giving a fetching instruction to said contents server on the basis of a decision result in said in-intermediate-unit duplication decision step (Swart: page 6, paragraph 60).

With respect to **claim 6,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 5, wherein, in said fetching instruction execution step, on the basis of the decision result in said in-intermediate-unit duplication decision step, said fetching instruction is not given to said contents server with respect to a duplicate portion between a substance of said contents portion needed for the compound contents production and said compound contents element stored and managed, and a compound contents element corresponding to said duplicate portion is used in

producing said compound contents in said production step (Swart: pages 5-6, paragraphs 58-59).

With respect to **claim 7,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 5, wherein, in said fetching instruction execution step, on the basis of the decision result in said in-intermediate-unit duplication decision step, when the substance of a portion of the contents portion needed for the compound contents production is duplicate with respect to said compound contents element stored and managed, said fetching instruction on a contents portion non-duplicate with respect to said compound contents element is given to said contents server (Swart: page 6, paragraph 62).

With respect to **claim 8,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein each of said contents servers stores and manages said compound contents element returned in said compound contents element acquisition step in the past and said compound contents element acquisition step includes:

an in-contents-server duplication decision step of making a decision on the degree of the duplication in substance between the contents portion which is an object of said fetching instruction in said contents portion fetching instruction step and said compound contents element stored and managed in said contents server (Swart: pages 5-6, paragraphs 58-59); and

a compound contents element reply step of, on the basis of a decision result in said in-contents-server duplication decision step, fetching said contents portion, which is an object of said fetching instruction, from said contents storage unit and making a

conversion into an encoding format for said portable terminal to return it as a compound content element to said intermediate apparatus (Swart: page 6, paragraph 62).

With respect to **claim 9,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 8, wherein, in said compound contents element reply step, on the basis of the decision result in said in-contents-server duplication decision step, of said contents portion which is an object of said fetching instruction in said contents portion fetching instruction step, a portion duplicate in substance with respect to said compound contents element stored and managed is not fetched from said contents storage unit while a compound contents element corresponding to the substance duplicate portion is returned to said intermediate apparatus (Swart: pages 5-6, paragraphs 58-59).

With respect to **claim 10,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 8, wherein, in said compound contents element reply step, on the basis of the decision result in said in-contents-server duplication decision step, of said contents portion which is an object of said fetching instruction in said contents portion fetching instruction step, a portion non-duplicate in substance with respect to said compound contents element stored and managed is fetched from said contents storage unit and, after a conversion is made into an encoding format for said portable terminal, the non-duplicate portion is returned as a compound contents element to said intermediate apparatus (Swart: pages 5-6, paragraphs 58-59).

With respect to **claim 11,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein, in said contents server, on the basis of popularity, important event and the like, a contents portion expected to be an object of said fetching

instruction in said contents portion fetching instruction step is stored and managed as said compound contents element in advance (Swart: page 5, paragraphs 56).

. With respect to **claim 12,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 1, wherein each of said contents distributed to said plurality of contents servers includes data having a time zone including voice data or motion picture data and said contents portion is arranged through the use of the voice or motion picture data partially extracted from said time zone (Swart: page 6, paragraphs 60).

. With respect to **claim 13,** Swart teaches a compound contents delivery method according to claim 12, wherein, in said contents portion fetching instruction step in said intermediate apparatus, said contents portion for the compound contents production which is an object of said fetching instruction is designated by designating information about a service location on the internet having said contents portion, a time zone of said contents portion, a media assortment or an encoding condition after the encoding conversion (Swart: page 5, paragraphs 54-55).

In regard to **claims 14-17**, the claim limitations of these claims are substantially the same as those in claims 1-13. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to claims 1-13 applies equally as well to claims 14-17.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Tada et al. (PGPUB: US 2003/0079183 A1) discloses a document data processing device, server device, terminal device and document processing system.
- Berger et al. (Patent no.: US 7,162,451 B2) discloses an information content distribution based on privacy and/or personal information.
- Serbinis et al. (Patent no.: US 6,584,466 B1) discloses an internet document management system.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Liu whose telephone number is (571) 270-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. L./

/Lin Liu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2145

/Jason D Cardone/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145